Multi-step VLBI observations of weak extragalactic radio sources Aligning the ICRF & the future Gaia frame

### <u>G. Bourda</u><sup>1</sup>, P. Charlot<sup>1</sup>, R. Porcas<sup>2</sup> & S. Garrington<sup>3</sup>

- (1) Laboratoire d'Astrophysique de Bordeaux (LAB), France
- (2) MPIfR, Bonn, Germany
- (3) Jodrell Bank Observatory, Manchester, UK





Consortium for Very Long Baseline Interferometry in Europe





- ✓ 450 weak extragalactic radio sources (flux density ≤ 100 mJy) Never observed with VLBI before
  - → Very high sensitivity necessary
  - ➡ Choice of the EVN (Effelsberg, 1Gb/s)











 ✓ 450 weak extragalactic radio sources (flux density ≤ 100 mJy) Never observed with VLBI before

→ Very high sensitivity necessary

- ➡ Choice of the EVN (Effelsberg, 1Gb/s)
- ✓ In a first time, interest in VLBI detection only: No imaging interest No astrometry interest



 ✓ 450 weak extragalactic radio sources (flux density ≤ 100 mJy) Never observed with VLBI before

→ Very high sensitivity necessary

- ➡ Choice of the EVN (Effelsberg, 1Gb/s)
- ✓ In a first time, interest in VLBI detection only: No imaging interest No astrometry interest
- ✓ Two 48-hours experiments
  Dual-frequency S/X geodetic style @ 1Gb/s

EC025A: June 2007 $\longrightarrow$  224 sources observed EC025B: October 2007 $\longrightarrow$  223 sources observed









 ✓ 450 weak extragalactic radio sources (flux density ≤ 100 mJy) Never observed with VLBI before

→ Very high sensitivity necessary

- ➡ Choice of the EVN (Effelsberg, 1Gb/s)
- ✓ In a first time, interest in VLBI detection only: No imaging interest No astrometry interest
- ✓ Two 48-hours experiments
  Dual-frequency S/X geodetic style @ 1Gb/s

EC025A: June 2007 $\longrightarrow$  224 sources observed EC025B: October 2007 $\longrightarrow$  223 sources observed











✓ 4/5 antennas: Effelsberg, Medicina, Noto, Onsala & Robledo (only EC025B)

EVN Users Meeting

#### **Proposal process**

Call Writing Submitting Information Review

#### **Proposal process**

Call Writing Submitting Information Review

Collaborators:

\* EVN Scheduler

\* Previous chairman of the EVN PC

#### **Proposal process**

Call Writing Submitting Information Review

Collaborators:

- \* EVN Scheduler
- \* Previous chairman of the EVN PC

### Scheduling

✓ In practice, difficult to plan optimized 48-hrs observations of more than 200 sources: Help from John Gipson (NASA/GSFC)

Telescope sky coverage optimization (SCHED): not necessary; Telescope slewing time optimization (SKED): required.

Figulting bacques of the recording @ 1 Ch/g @ Effelsherg: Help from Dev

 ✓ Difficulties because of the recording @ 1 Gb/s @ Effelsberg: Help from Dave Graham (MPIfR, Bonn)

Specific frequency setup had to be implemented (geodetic-style).

- $\checkmark$  Lack of information about the possible bandwidth frequencies @ Robledo.
- $\checkmark$  EVN Status table (web) could be more pleasant to read.

#### Correlation

- ✓ Bonn correlator (geodesy)
- ✓ Efficient: very radiply correlated

#### Correlation

- ✓ Bonn correlator (geodesy)
- ✓ Efficient: very radiply correlated

#### Analysis

- $\checkmark$  Geodetic softwares used for the analysis (fourfit)
- ✓ Dave Graham implemented a specific version for us!

#### Correlation

- ✓ Bonn correlator (geodesy)
- ✓ Efficient: very radiply correlated

#### Analysis

- $\checkmark$  Geodetic softwares used for the analysis (fourfit)
- ✓ Dave Graham implemented a specific version for us!

#### **Performance of the array**

- ✓ Suffered from the weakness of the S-band receiver @ Effelsberg
- ✓ Supplemented by Robledo during EC025B: good solution

#### Correlation

- ✓ Bonn correlator (geodesy)
- ✓ Efficient: very radiply correlated

#### Analysis

- $\checkmark$  Geodetic softwares used for the analysis (fourfit)
- ✓ Dave Graham implemented a specific version for us!

#### **Performance of the array**

- ✓ Suffered from the weakness of the S-band receiver @ Effelsberg
- ✓ Supplemented by Robledo during EC025B: good solution

#### **Quality of the observations**

- ✓ S-band interferences
- ✓ Very few telescope failures

#### Correlation

- ✓ Bonn correlator (geodesy)
- ✓ Efficient: very radiply correlated

#### Analysis

- $\checkmark$  Geodetic softwares used for the analysis (fourfit)
- ✓ Dave Graham implemented a specific version for us!

#### **Performance of the array**

- ✓ Suffered from the weakness of the S-band receiver @ Effelsberg
- ✓ Supplemented by Robledo during EC025B: good solution

#### **Quality of the observations**

- ✓ S-band interferences
- ✓ Very few telescope failures

#### **Scientific goals met?**

✓ Much more detections than expected, finally!  $\sim 90\%$ 

# Thanks for your attention ...

11

panan in

## **II. Sample**

- 447 weak extragalactic radio sources
- To observe with S/X geodetic style directly in VLBI
- No published VLBI observations for most of them
- Sources from NVSS survey (NRAO VLA Sky Survey; Condon et al. 1998)

Optical counterpart /  $V \le 18$ 

Observable with VLBI northern arrays:  $\delta \ge -10^{\circ}$ 

NVSS integrated flux density @  $1.4 \text{ GHz} \ge 20 \text{ mJy}$ 

	SNR			
	Eb–Mc	Eb–Nt	Eb–On	Eb–VLBA
X band	49	32	22	50
S band	11	8	(7)	13

### **III. Observations:** First step = VLBI detectability

Two 48-hours experiments (dual-frequency S/X geodetic style @ 1Gb/s):

**EC025A**: June 2007  $\longrightarrow$  224 sources observed

**EC025B**: October 2007  $\longrightarrow$  223 sources observed

European network: 4/5 antennas



#### S/X detection rates:



### Flux density distributions



### 1-hour observations = 10 scans



 $\implies$  Time recording @ each telescope ~80% of the total duration of each experiment

**EVN Users Meeting** 

#### **Useful to other users:**

- ✓ Request made to NASA/GSFC so that calibrators used here be observed during near RDV experiment (scheduled on July 10, 2007).
- $\implies$  Calibration of the visibility amplitudes.
- $\implies$  Accurate estimates of the flux density of the targets.
- ✓ No attempt made for optimizing sky coverage above each telescope (usually done with astrometry/geodetic-style experiments, in order to estimate tropospheric zenith delays)
- Because astrometric accuracy was not the motivation at this stage.