Magnetism and Continuum Surveys Working Together



Federica Govoni INAF ORA-Cagliari (Italy)

Collaborators: M. Murgia, A. Bonafede, L. Feretti, C. Ferrari G. Giovannini, F. Loi, M. Trasatti, V. Vacca

20 - 23 October 2015 Bologna (Italy) The many facets of extragalactic radio surveys towards new scientific challenges

Magnetism and Continuum Surveys Working Together

Extragalactic magnetic fields:

- Spiral Galaxies (see talks by G. Heald, A. Williams, F. Tabatabaei)
 - (see talks by I. Agudo, R. Laing)
- Galaxy clusters

- AGNs

- Cosmic Web Filaments

(see talk by F. Vazza)

Continuum and polarization capability of future surveys will permit to improve our knowledge of the incidence, strength, and morphology of magnetic fields

I-part of the talk: Discrete sources

FARADAY ROTATION

II-part of the talk: Diffuse Synchrotron Emission

Faraday Rotation

(I-part of the talk)

Rotation Measure of radio galaxies located within or in the background of a galaxy cluster



Faraday Rotation



Faraday Rotation: Observations

Feretti et al. (1999), Guidetti et al. (2010)



-180 -160 -140 -120 -100 RAD/M/M

Magnetic field strength of Bo~3.5 μG which fluctuates over scales from 0.2 kpc to 65 kpc

Faraday Rotation: Observations



Magnetic field strength of Bo~12 μG which fluctuates over scales from 0.7 kpc to 35 kpc

Faraday Rotation: Observations









By sampling the Rotation Measure with radio galaxies located at different projected distance from the cluster center it is possible to investigate the behaviour of the fluctuations of the magnetic field on large scale

Faraday Rotation: Simulations

Dedicated software tools and Bayesian approaches have been proposed to interpret the Faraday Rotation effect and constraint the magnetic field parameters Ensslin & Vogt (2003), Murgia et al. (2004), Laing et al. (2008), Kuchart & Ensslin (2011), Bonafede et al. (2013)



Faraday Software Murgia et al. (2004) Cosmological MHD simulations Xu et al. (2012)

Frequency

Faraday Rotation: Simulations

Dedicated software tools and Bayesian approaches have been proposed to interpret the Faraday Rotation effect and constraint the magnetic field parameters Ensslin & Vogt (2003), Murgia et al. (2004), Laing et al. (2008), Kuchart & Ensslin (2011), Bonafede et al. (2013)



Faraday Rotation: Simulations

0.5

400

Btot

RAD/M/M

B0=1 μG Kolmogorov n=11/3 Amin=2 kpc



0.5 1.5 Btot **Magnetic Field** Amax=30 kpc 400 400 200 -200 Rotation Measure RAD/M/M

Faraday Software Murgia et al. (2004)



Bo ~1-3 μG in merging galaxy clusters Bo ~10 μG in cooling core galaxy clusters

Magnetic fields fluctuate over a wide range of spatial scales

Magnetic fields decreases following the thermal gas density



<u>The sensitivity of current radio facilities limits</u> <u>RM studies to a few radio galaxies per cluster and</u> <u>a few galaxy clusters have been studied in detail.</u>

Faraday Rotation

NRAO VLA Sky Survey Condon et al. (1998)

Rotation Measures Taylor et al. (2009)

Frequency=1.4GHz Beam=45'' oI~0.45 mJy/beam oU,Q~0.30mJy/beam

 \approx 40000 Rotation Measures (\approx 1 RM per deg²)

Gaensler et al. (2004), Feretti & Johnston-Hollitt (2004), Beck & Gaensler (2004) proposed a 1.4 GHz polarization survey with the SKA, resulting in a closely spaced FARADAY ROTATION MEASURE GRID. 10 years later, the importance of the RM grid has only increased.

Polarization Survey Stokes I,Q,U		
INSTRUMENT	SKA1-Mid	
FREQUENCY	Band2 (950-1760 MHz)	HIGHEST PRIORITY OF THE SKA MAGNETISM COMMUNITY
SENSITIVITY	4 µJy/beam	
RESOLUTION	2"	
FIELD OF VIEW	All-Sky 30.000 deg ²	

Johnston-Hollitt et al. (2015)

All-Sky RM grid
Broadband polarimetry of diffuse synchrotron emission
Continnum science



Johnston-Hollitt et al. (2015)



Bayesian algorithms have been developed to exploit the information from the incoming polarization surveys and RM grids and to separate between the extragalactic contribution intrinsic to the emitting sources from that due to the overall large-scale environment (galaxy clusters, filaments, voids).



Vacca et al. (2015), Vacca et al. (submitted)

Application to mock data from SKA1-Mid Band 2 3500 sources

Bonafede et al. (2015)



Bonafede et al. (2010)

SKA1 will have the potential of measuring the RM toward a large number of sources by deriving a detailed description of the strength, structure, and radial decrease of cluster magnetic fields.

Diffuse Synchrotron Emission

(II-part of the talk)



Radio halos are ideal sources for magnetic field studies in galaxy clusters.

(see talks by G. Bernardi, A. Bonafede, R. Cassano, V. Cuciti, R. Kale, R. VanWeeren, T. Venturi)



Diffuse Synchrotron Emission : Observations

Feretti et al. (2012)



Diffuse Synchrotron Emission : Observations

Bonafede et al. (2009)









Govoni et al. (2005), see also Pizzo et al. (2011)







Faraday Software Murgia et al. (2004)

SIMULATION AT FULL RESOLUTION



TOTAL INTENSITY

SIMULATION AT FULL RESOLUTION



Fractional Polarizazion P/I=22%



TOTAL INTENSITY

POLARIZED INTENSITY

SIMULATION AT 15" RESOLUTION

Fractional Polarizazion P/I=6% BEAM DEPOLARIZATION



POLARIZED INTENSITY

TOTAL INTENSITY

SIMULATION AT 15" RESOLUTION

BEAM DEPOLARIZATION + NOISE POLARIZATION NOT DETECTED



TOTAL INTENSITY



POLARIZED INTENSITY

SIMULATION AT 15" RESOLUTION

BEAM DEPOLARIZATION + NOISE POLARIZATION NOT DETECTED



TOTAL INTENSITY

POLARIZED INTENSITY

SIMULATION AT 15" RESOLUTION



BEAM DEPOLARIZATION + NOISE

The detection of polarized signal in radio halos is a very hard task!!



100%









B0=5 μG







B0=5 μG











Amax=30 kpc Amax=300 kpc Amax=1000 kpc











Amax=300 kpc Amax=1000 kpc

Amax=30 kpc











Amax=300 kpc Amax=1000 kpc

Amax=30 kpc





Synchrotron Emission:

Cosmological MHD simulations expect filamentary structures in radio halos

Xu et al. (2012)



Full resolution emission at 1.4 GHz

Synchrotron Emission:

These filamentary structures are not visible when observed with the resolution and sensitivity of the current facilities

Xu et al. (2012)



Frequency 1.4 GHz, Resolution 50'' oI=0.1 mJy/beam

Synchrotron Emission:

These filamentary structures may be visible when observed with the resolution and sensitivity of the future facilities Govoni et al. (2013), Govoni et al. (2015)



Total Intensity

Polarized Intensity

1.4 GHz - Bandwidth 300 MHz - Resolution 10'' - Sensitivity 10µJy/beam

Survey WODAN with APERTIF Survey EMU with ASKAP (see talk by R. Norris) Survey POSSUM with ASKAP

Conclusion:

Despite of their importance, our knowledge about strength, structure, evolution and origin of magnetic fields is still poor and numerous open questions remain unanswered.

The full-Stokes capability of the future high sensitivity and resolution spectro-polarimetric surveys, promise a transformational advance in our understanding of cosmic magnetism.

I have shown how these surveys combined with innovative approaches incorporating theoretical, and numerical techniques, will permit to improve our knowledge of the incidence, strength and morphology of magnetic fields in Galaxy Clusters



Continuum and Polarized Diffuse Synchrotron Emission

